

WOUNDED MASCULINITY IN HEMINGWAY'S NOVELS: TRAUMA, STOICISM, AND THE CRISIS OF MODERN MANHOOD

Pankaj Kumar

Department of English, Sanatan Dharma College, Ambala Cantt, Haryana, India.

Abstract

*This paper examines the theme of wounded masculinity in Ernest Hemingway's major novels, including *The Sun Also Rises* (1926), *A Farewell to Arms* (1929), *For Whom the Bell Tolls* (1940), and *The Old Man and the Sea* (1952). Drawing on feminist literary theory, psychoanalytic criticism, and cultural studies, it argues that Hemingway's male protagonists embody a paradoxical masculine ideal: men who are physically or psychologically damaged yet compelled to perform stoic toughness as a defence against acknowledged vulnerability. The paper traces how World War I trauma, patriarchal social structures, and Hemingway's own autobiographical anxieties converged to produce a literary mythology of wounded manhood that has profoundly shaped twentieth-century American culture. Through close readings of key passages and characters—Jake Barnes, Frederic Henry, Robert Jordan, and Santiago—this study reveals how Hemingway simultaneously critiques and reifies hegemonic masculinity, leaving a legacy that scholars continue to interrogate in the twenty-first century.*

Keywords

Hemingway,
Masculinity,
Trauma,
Wounded Manhood,
Modernism,
Stoicism,
Gender Studies,
American Literature.

1. Introduction

Ernest Hemingway (1899–1961) occupies a singular position in the canon of American literature, not only as a master stylist whose stripped-down prose revolutionised the modern novel, but also as the architect of a masculinity myth so pervasive it has become nearly inseparable from the cultural imagination of twentieth-century manhood. The image of the Hemingway hero—physically brave, emotionally taciturn, schooled in suffering—became a cultural touchstone that defined ideals of male selfhood for generations of readers, writers, and critics alike. Yet beneath the surface of this heroic masculinity lies a persistent and troubling undercurrent: the wound. Hemingway's male protagonists are, almost without exception, damaged men. Jake Barnes in *The Sun Also Rises* has been rendered sexually impotent by a war injury. Frederic Henry in *A Farewell to Arms* is broken by the carnage of the Italian front and the death of the woman he loves. Robert Jordan in *For Whom the Bell Tolls* operates under a death sentence of his own choosing. Santiago in *The Old Man and the Sea* is physically destroyed by his encounter with the great marlin. These are not incidental wounds—they are constitutive of Hemingway's masculine ideal itself.

This paper argues that wounded masculinity functions in Hemingway's fiction not as a failure of manhood but as its very precondition. To be genuinely masculine, in Hemingway's symbolic universe, one must have suffered and survived—or at least endured—with grace under pressure. Wound and stoicism are two faces of the same coin: the wound authenticates the man, while stoicism legitimises his claim to dignity in the face of that wound. This dialectic, rooted in the historical traumas of the First and Second World Wars as well as in Hemingway's own complex autobiographical investments, produced a body of fiction that simultaneously mapped and shaped American masculine ideology throughout the mid-twentieth century.

The scholarship on Hemingway and gender has evolved significantly over the past four decades. Early feminist critics such as Judith Fetterley (1978) and Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar (1988) identified misogynistic elements in his fiction. Later scholars, including Nancy Comley and Robert Scholes (1994), Mark Spilka (1990), and Debra Modellmog (1999), complicated this picture by revealing the instability and anxiety at the heart of Hemingway's masculine performances. More recently, critics informed by masculinity studies—drawing on the foundational work of R.W. Connell (1995) on hegemonic masculinity and Michael Kimmel (1996) on the history of American manhood—have situated Hemingway's fiction within broader cultural debates about male identity in modernity.

The present study builds on and synthesises these critical traditions, bringing them to bear on the specific trope of the wound. It proceeds through close readings of four major novels, arranged chronologically, examining

how the figure of wounded masculinity develops, deepens, and finally achieves an almost mythological resonance in *The Old Man and the Sea*. The paper concludes by reflecting on the cultural legacy of Hemingway's wounded men and their continued relevance to contemporary debates about masculinity, trauma, and literary representation.

2. Theoretical Framework: Masculinity, Trauma, and the Literary Wound

Hegemonic Masculinity and Its Discontents

Any sustained examination of masculinity in literature must begin with R.W. Connell's foundational concept of hegemonic masculinity, defined as the configuration of gender practice which embodies the currently accepted answer to the problem of the legitimacy of patriarchy (Connell 77). Hegemonic masculinity is not a fixed essence but a historically contingent ideal—a normative standard against which actual men inevitably fall short, since no man fully embodies it. This constitutive gap between the ideal and the lived reality of male experience is, as Connell and others have argued a primary source of masculine anxiety and violence.

For Hemingway's male characters, this gap takes a particularly stark form: they are men who aspire to the ideal of stoic, physically powerful, sexually potent manhood, but who have been wounded—physically, psychologically, or both—in ways that threaten to expose the fragility of that ideal. The wound, in this framework, is not merely a plot device but a semiotic marker: it signifies the presence of vulnerability that hegemonic masculinity must at once acknowledge (as the price of authentic experience) and manage (through rituals of performance, silence, and endurance).

Michael Kimmel's historical analysis of American manhood in *Manhood in America* (1996) provides a complementary framework. Kimmel argues that American masculinity has been defined primarily through competitive self-making and the suppression of dependence and emotion. The fear of being seen as unmanly—what Kimmel calls homosocial shame—is the central engine of masculine anxiety in American culture. Hemingway's fiction, written during a period of intense cultural anxiety about male identity in the aftermath of World War I and during the upheavals of the Great Depression and World War II, can be read as a sustained engagement with this shame and the strategies men deploy to manage it.

Trauma Theory and the Male Body

The concept of trauma—originating in clinical psychology and popularised in literary studies through the work of Cathy Caruth (1996), Shoshana Felman and Dori Laub (1992), and Judith Herman (1992)—provides another indispensable lens for reading Hemingway's wounded men. Trauma theory describes an experience so overwhelming that it cannot be fully processed in normal ways, returning instead in the form of flashbacks, compulsive repetitions, emotional numbing, and dissociation.

World War I was, for Hemingway's generation, the defining traumatic event. The sheer scale of industrial carnage on the Western Front—a war that killed or maimed millions of young men—shattered pre-war ideals of heroic masculinity rooted in individual valour and physical courage. In their place, the war imposed a new masculine reality: one of passivity, vulnerability, helplessness in the face of mechanised killing. As Samuel Hynes has argued in *A War Imagined* (1990), World War I produced a crisis of representation as well as a crisis of experience, forcing writers to develop new forms capable of conveying the incommunicable horror of modern war.

Hemingway's narrative strategies—his famous iceberg theory of omission, his reliance on understatement and ellipsis, his avoidance of explicit emotional disclosure—can be read in part as formal responses to this crisis of representation. What cannot be spoken about the wound is nonetheless present in the text as absence, as the structuring silences that give Hemingway's prose its characteristic tension. As Susan Beegel has noted, Hemingway's style is itself a kind of wound: marked by what has been cut away, shaped by loss (Beegel 145).

The Performative Dimension: 'Grace Under Pressure'

Hemingway's own famous formulation—that courage is 'grace under pressure'—points to the performative dimension of his masculine ideal. Judith Butler's theory of gender as performance (1990, 1993) helps us understand this dimension more precisely. For Butler, gender is not a natural expression of an inner essence but a repeated enactment of norms that, through their repetition, produce the illusion of a stable gender identity. Hemingway's characters are compulsive performers of masculinity: they hunt, fish, drink, fight, and endure with a ritualistic dedication that suggests the continuous labour required to sustain an identity that is never secure.

The wound complicates this performance in productive ways. On one hand, the wound threatens to expose the performative character of masculinity—to reveal, as it were, that there is nothing behind the mask. On the other hand, the wounded man who continues to perform, who endures his wound with stoic dignity, achieves a kind of masculine authenticity that the unwounded man cannot claim. Suffering thus functions in Hemingway's symbolic economy as both threat and resource: it threatens masculine identity while simultaneously, if properly

managed, deepening and authenticating it.

The Emasculated Veteran: Jake Barnes in the Sun Also Rises

The War Wound as Symbolic Castration

Published in 1926, *The Sun Also Rises* stands as Hemingway's most explicit and searching treatment of wounded masculinity. Its narrator-protagonist, Jake Barnes, is an American expatriate journalist living in Paris in the aftermath of World War I. The defining fact of Jake's existence is a war wound—never explicitly named but strongly implied to be a genital injury—that has rendered him sexually impotent. This wound is the structuring absence of the novel, never directly described, referred to only obliquely, but present on every page as the invisible burden that shapes every relationship and every interaction.

Jake's wound is at once literal and symbolic. Literally, it is a specific injury sustained in the war, one of the many ways in which industrial combat mutilated the bodies of young men. Symbolically, it represents a castration: a loss of the phallic potency that patriarchal culture identifies with masculine power and agency. In Freudian terms, Jake's wound literalizes the castration anxiety that Freud identified as the fundamental source of masculine insecurity. The wound makes visible what is normally invisible: the fragility of masculine identity and its dependence on the intact, functioning male body.

The novel's treatment of Jake's wound is consistently elliptical. The clearest acknowledgement comes in the famous passage in which Jake looks at himself in the mirror after a night of frustrated desire: I looked at myself in the mirror of the big armoire beside the bed... Of all the ways to be wounded. I suppose it was funny. (Hemingway, *The Sun Also Rises* 38)

This passage is remarkable for what it does not say. Jake does not describe his wound; he does not name it. The sentence 'Of all the ways to be wounded' performs its own ellipsis, replacing specificity with abstraction. The deflective irony of 'I suppose it was funny' is a characteristic instance of Hemingway's stoic style as emotional management: the humour is a way of containing and controlling a pain that cannot be directly expressed.

The Lost Generation and Masculine Failure

Jake's wound is not only his personal burden; it is also the synecdoche for a generation's collective wound. The novel's epigraph, Gertrude Stein's remark that 'You are all a lost generation,' frames the characters' dysfunction and restlessness as symptoms of a broader historical trauma. The expatriate community that gathers in Paris and Pamplona—Brett Ashley, Robert Cohn, Mike Campbell, Bill Gorton—are all, in different ways, casualties of the war and the cultural dislocations it produced.

Robert Cohn is particularly instructive as a foil for Jake. Cohn is physically intact, indeed an amateur boxer, but he is psychologically and socially emasculated in ways that the novel consistently marks as worse than Jake's physical wound. Cohn's sentimentalism, his romantic idealisation of Brett, his inability to accept rejection with dignity—these are, in the novel's value system, more serious failures of masculinity than Jake's impotence. What distinguishes Jake from Cohn is not physical wholeness but the capacity for stoic acceptance: Jake knows what he has lost and lives with it; Cohn refuses to accept reality and makes himself—and everyone around him—miserable as a result.

The bullfighting sequences that dominate the novel's second half function as a kind of masculine ideal against which the expatriate characters are measured and found wanting. The matador Pedro Romero—young, physically beautiful, courageously facing death in the ring—represents a pre-modern masculine wholeness that the war has made impossible for Jake and his contemporaries. Jake's appreciation of Romero's art is both aesthetic and elegiac: it is the appreciation of a man who recognises a form of wholeness he can never possess but can still value and bear witness to.

Brett Ashley and the Threat of Female Desire

The novel's treatment of wounded masculinity is inseparable from its representation of female desire, embodied in Lady Brett Ashley. Brett is a modern woman—sexually liberated, emotionally complex, largely indifferent to conventional feminine roles—who is drawn to Jake but unable to sustain a relationship with him because of his wound. She moves from man to man in ways that the novel treats with a mixture of sympathy and anxiety.

Brett functions, in the economy of the novel, as both the object of wounded desire and its chief threat. Her very existence as an independent sexual agent is enabled by the wound: if Jake were whole, their relationship could presumably follow conventional patterns. His wound creates the conditions for her freedom, but that freedom in turn underscores his insufficiency. This circular logic reveals the extent to which, in Hemingway's fictional universe, female agency and masculine adequacy are defined in opposition to each other.

Feminist critics have noted the misogynistic dimensions of the novel's treatment of Brett (Fetterley 46-71). While these critiques are valid, they should not obscure the genuine complexity of Brett's characterisation or the

extent to which the novel itself appears ambivalent about her. Brett's famous final line—'we could have had such a damned good time together'—and Jake's devastating response, 'Yes. Isn't it pretty to think so?' expresses a mutual grief that transcends simple misogyny. Both characters are victims of a world that has made genuine connection impossible; the wound that divides them is also the wound that binds them.

Love and War: Frederic Henry in A Farewell to Arms

The Body Under Fire

Published in 1929, *A Farewell to Arms* deepens and complicates the treatment of wounded masculinity introduced in *The Sun Also Rises*. Where Jake Barnes's wound is the given condition from which the novel precedes, Frederic Henry's wounding is dramatised directly: we witness the mortar explosion that shatters his legs in graphic, visceral detail. This narrative choice—to show rather than merely imply the wound—marks a shift in Hemingway's handling of masculine vulnerability, one that reaches toward a more confrontation with the male body's fragility.

The wounding scene is one of the most powerful in Hemingway's fiction. Frederic's initial response—his detached, almost clinical observation of his own shattered body—illustrates the dissociative response that trauma theory identifies as a characteristic defence against overwhelming experience:

*I tried to breathe, but my breath would not come, and I felt myself rush bodily out of myself and out and out and out and all the time bodily in the wind. I went out swiftly, all of myself, and I knew I was dead and that it had all been a mistake to think you just died... I sat up straight and as I did so, something inside my head moved like the weights on a doll's eyes, and it hit me inside the back of my eyeballs. (Hemingway, *A Farewell to Arms* 57)*

This passage captures the fragmentation of bodily experience that characterises traumatic injury: the separation of self from body ('rush bodily out of myself'), the confusion of inner and outer ('something inside my head moved'), and the cognitive disorientation. Frederic's wound literalizes the dissociation that Jake Barnes's narrative style performs: where Jake manages his wound through elliptical prose, Frederic experiences the actual dissolution of self that the wound entails.

The Separate Peace and Masculine Desertion

Frederic's famous 'separate peace'—his desertion from the Italian army after the retreat from Caporetto—is the novel's central moral act, and it is deeply ambiguous in its relationship to wounded masculinity. On one hand, the desertion can be read as a failure of masculine duty: Frederic abandons his post, his men, and his obligations as an officer. On the other hand, the novel frames it as a courageous rejection of a system that has proven itself murderous and incoherent. The retreat from Caporetto, with its arbitrary executions of officers by military police, reveals the war to be not a test of masculine virtue but a machine for the production of corpses.

Frederic's desertion is thus both a wound to his masculine self-conception and a necessary response to the larger wound that the war has inflicted on the idea of heroic manhood itself. The novel asks: what does courage mean when the institution that claims to embody it—the military, the nation-state—has revealed itself as fundamentally irrational and destructive? Frederic's answer—to make a separate peace, to withdraw into private life and private love—is the answer of a man who has been wounded not only in body but in his capacity to believe in the public codes of masculine honour.

Catherine Barkley and the Failure of Love

The relationship between Frederic and Catherine Barkley has generated substantial critical debate. Early critics often read Catherine as the ideal Hemingway woman: passive, devoted, defined entirely by her love for her man. Feminist critics, predictably, found this characterisation troubling. More recent scholarship has attempted to recuperate Catherine as a more complex figure whose apparent passivity masks genuine agency and psychological depth.

From the perspective of wounded masculinity, what is most significant about the Frederic-Catherine relationship is its impossible burden. Frederic invests in the relationship with the weight of everything the war has destroyed: meaning, connection, continuity, hope. Catherine is asked to redeem a masculinity that the war has shattered. When she dies in childbirth at the novel's end—the baby stillborn, Catherine's life destroyed by a haemorrhage—this redemptive project fails absolutely. The wound that seemed to be healing is revealed as mortal. Frederic walks back to the hotel in the rain: alone, bereft, the separate peace exposed as an illusion. The ending of *A Farewell to Arms* is Hemingway's bleakest statement on the limits of wounded masculinity's resources for self-repair. Where Jake Barnes's stoicism allows him to continue—to find meaning in the bullfight, in fishing, in the honest acknowledgement of loss—Frederic Henry is left with nothing. The wound, in the end, cannot be survived.

Committed Action and the Politics of Sacrifice: Robert Jordan in *For Whom the Bell Tolls* The Masculine Ideal Reconsidered

For Whom the Bell Tolls (1940) represents a significant development in Hemingway's treatment of masculinity, one that introduces a political and ethical dimension absent from the earlier novels. Robert Jordan, an American professor of Spanish fighting with the Republican guerrillas in the Spanish Civil War, is a man who has chosen his wound: he has voluntarily placed himself in mortal danger in service of a cause he believes in. Unlike Jake Barnes, whose wound was visited upon him by the accident of war, Jordan's position is the result of deliberate commitment.

This shift from passive wounding to active sacrifice marks a new phase in Hemingway's masculine mythology. Jordan represents an attempt to recover a form of masculine heroism that World War I had apparently destroyed: the heroism of the man who acts deliberately, who chooses his risks, who accepts the consequences of his choices with open eyes. The Spanish Civil War provided Hemingway with a context in which this older masculine ideal could seem—however briefly and however ambiguously—possible again.

The Bridge and the Body

The novel's plot hinges on Jordan's mission to blow up a bridge behind enemy lines, a mission he knows is probably futile but which he carries out anyway. This combination of probable futility and determined action is characteristic of Hemingway's masculine ideal at its most developed: the Hemingway hero is not someone who expects to win but someone who performs his duty regardless of outcome.

The novel ends with Jordan wounded and dying, left behind to cover his comrades' retreat. This final scene—Jordan lying on the pine needles, sighting his submachine gun at the approaching enemy, facing death with clear-eyed calm—is perhaps Hemingway's most iconic image of masculine endurance:

*He could feel his heart beating against the pine needle floor of the forest... He was waiting until the officer reached the small trees they had passed... Then I must do my best with what I have... If he started thinking about it, he would be tempted to think of Julia and the others... he would not do that. (Hemingway, *For Whom the Bell Tolls* 471)*

Jordan's deliberate refusal to think about personal loss—his choice to focus on the task rather than on the self—is the stoic ideal in its purest form. The wound that will kill him is also, paradoxically, the moment of his fullest masculine realisation. He has made good on his commitment; he has protected his comrades; he will die in the performance of his duty. The wound is the consummation of the masculine project rather than its defeat.

Pilar, Maria, and the Gendering of Revolutionary Space

The novel's complex female characterisations—particularly the powerful, wise, somewhat threatening figure of Pilar and the wounded, vulnerable Maria—enrich and complicate its treatment of masculinity. Pilar is, in many ways, more masculine than any of the novel's men: she is physically powerful, strategically shrewd, emotionally resilient, and the group's real leader despite Jordan's nominal command. Her masculinity is not presented as transgressive or threatening in the way that Brett Ashley's sexual freedom is; it is treated with genuine respect.

Maria, by contrast, is a figure of extreme vulnerability: she has been raped by Fascist soldiers, and her relationship with Jordan is partly a process of healing that vulnerability through the experience of loving sexual connection. This narrative function—the wounded woman healed by the love of a good man—has attracted feminist criticism for its instrumentalisation of female trauma. But it also reveals something important about Hemingway's masculine ideal: Jordan's masculinity is authenticated not only by his willingness to die but by his capacity to provide healing and protection to someone more damaged than him.

The Transcendence of Defeat: Santiago in *The Old Man and the Sea*

The Ageing Body as Wound

The Old Man and the Sea (1952), Hemingway's last major published work of fiction and the novel that secured his Nobel Prize, carries the treatment of wounded masculinity to its furthest point and, in some ways, its most complete resolution. Santiago, the ageing Cuban fisherman who battles a giant marlin for three days and nights only to have his prize consumed by sharks before he can bring it to shore, is Hemingway's most explicitly allegorical masculine hero.

Santiago's wound is his age. He is an old man, physically diminished, socially marginalised—'salao,' the worst form of unlucky, in the fishermen's community. His body bears the marks of decades of labour: the scar tissue on his hands from the fishing line, the bad eye, the diminished strength. In the economy of hegemonic masculinity, Santiago has nothing left to offer: he is no longer productive, no longer sexually viable, no longer physically powerful. The novel asks: Is there masculinity available to such a man? And its answer is yes—but it requires a radical redefinition of what masculinity means.

The Contest with the Marlin

The three-day struggle with the marlin is simultaneously a test of physical endurance, a spiritual trial, and an extended meditation on the nature of masculine identity in the face of inevitable defeat. Santiago's hands—already scarred, now cut to the bone by the fishing line—become the focal image of this struggle. The wound is both literal and symbolic: it is the price Santiago pays for the attempt, the mark of genuine engagement with an adversary worthy of respect.

What distinguishes Santiago's response to the wound from the responses of Jake Barnes and Frederic Henry is its absence of bitterness or despair. Santiago suffers—the novel is unflinching about the physical reality of his pain—but he accepts his suffering as the condition of the undertaking rather than as an affront to his dignity. When he catches the marlin only to have it destroyed by sharks, his response is grief rather than rage: the clean grief of a man who has done everything possible and accepts that it was not enough.

The novel's most quoted line—'A man can be destroyed but not defeated'—is both the novel's thesis and its most direct statement of the stoic masculine ideal. Destruction (the physical wound, the loss of the prize) and defeat (the surrender of the self) are carefully distinguished. Santiago has been destroyed, but he has not been defeated. He has maintained his dignity, his craft, his commitment to the contest. In Hemingway's masculine mythology, this is all—and it is enough.

The Mythological Dimension

Critics have long noted the Christological dimensions of *The Old Man and the Sea*: Santiago's outstretched arms on the cross of the mast, the wounds on his hands, his suffering and his return to the village where he falls asleep in the cruciform position. These religious resonances elevate the figure of wounded masculinity from the personal and the historical to the mythological. Santiago's wounds are not only the wounds of an old Cuban fisherman; they are the wounds of every man who has attempted something beyond his strength and been broken by the attempt.

This mythological elevation has been criticised as a form of ideological mystification: by universalising the wounded man's suffering, the novel obscures the specific social and historical conditions that produce masculine wounds. Philip Young's reading of the novel as Hemingway's autobiographical reckoning with his own diminishment is suggestive in this regard: the mythology may be personal as much as universal, a way for Hemingway to come to terms with his own ageing, his own sense of creative exhaustion and physical deterioration (Young 127-142).

Nevertheless, the mythological register of *The Old Man and the Sea* represents the most fully realised expression of what Hemingway's wounded masculinity is ultimately about: the attempt to find a form of dignity and meaning adequate to the fact of inevitable defeat. This is not triumphalist masculinity but an elegy: mourning for wholeness that was never possible, a celebration of the attempt that was made anyway.

Cross-Cutting Themes in Hemingway's Treatment of Wounded Masculinity Silence, Language, and the Wound

One of the most consistent features of Hemingway's representation of wounded masculinity is the inadequacy—indeed, the impossibility—of direct linguistic expression. Jake Barnes cannot speak about his wound; Frederic Henry talks around his; Robert Jordan controls his thoughts through a conscious act of will; Santiago communicates with the marlin and the sea in a register that approaches but does not reach ordinary speech. In each case, the wound resists or exceeds language.

This linguistic evasion is not merely a stylistic tic; it reflects a genuine cultural reality about the construction of wounded masculinity. Hegemonic masculinity prohibits direct expression of vulnerability and pain: the man who speaks openly about his suffering risks being marked as weak, unmanly, insufficiently stoic. Hemingway's narrative strategies—omission, understatement, displacement—are thus cultural strategies as well as aesthetic ones. They perform the masculine taboo on emotional disclosure while simultaneously, through their very evasiveness, signalling the presence of what cannot be said.

The Homosocial Bond

Across all four novels, the relationships between men—what Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick (1985) has theorised as homosocial desire—are as emotionally central as the heterosexual relationships, and in some ways more so. Jake's friendships with Bill Gorton and Count Mippipopolous provide the warmth and mutual understanding that his relationship with Brett cannot; Frederic's bond with the surgeon Rinaldi and the priest reflects dimensions of his character that Catherine cannot access; Jordan's relationship with Anselmo, the old man who is his guide and comrade, carries the deepest emotional weight of *For Whom the Bell Tolls*; Santiago's bond with the boy Manolin frames *The Old Man and the Sea* as a story about the transmission of masculine values across

generations.

These homosocial bonds are the primary site of emotional expression in Hemingway's fiction—or rather, the primary site of the emotional expression that cannot be otherwise expressed. Men in Hemingway do not tell each other they love each other; they fish together, drink together, sit in companionable silence. The wound is shared, if not spoken: there is an understood solidarity between men who have endured, a community of suffering that provides, if not healing, at least companionship in the face of loss.

Nature as Masculine Space

The natural world—the mountains of Spain, the Italian front, the Gulf Stream, the hills of Pamplona—functions in Hemingway's fiction as both the arena of masculine testing and the site of potential masculine recuperation. The wound inflicted in the social world—by war, by love, by time—can be partially healed, or at least endured, in confrontation with the natural world. Fishing, hunting, and bullfighting are not mere pastimes in Hemingway's fiction; they are rituals of masculine identity-maintenance, ways of reconnecting with a world of clear challenges and honest responses that the social world does not provide.

This Romantic investment in nature as masculine space has been criticised, rightly, for its ideological dimensions: it tends to exclude women (nature is where men go to recover from women), to romanticise violence (the hunt and the bullfight aestheticise killing), and to evade the social causes of the wounds that nature is supposed to heal. Nevertheless, the affective power of Hemingway's natural descriptions—the clarity of his trout streams, the grandeur of his mountains, the terrible beauty of his bulls—is undeniable, and it is deeply connected to his treatment of wounded masculinity. Nature is where wounds can be neither hidden nor denied, only endured.

Critical Reception and Cultural Legacy

From Admiration to Critique

The critical reception of Hemingway's masculine mythology has followed a recognisable arc over the twentieth and early twenty-first centuries. The initial reception was largely adulatory: Hemingway's male heroes were widely celebrated as models of authentic manhood, embodying values—courage, self-reliance, stoicism, directness—that resonated powerfully with American cultural ideals. Philip Young's *Ernest Hemingway: A Reconsideration* (1952, revised 1966) established the 'Hemingway hero' as a critical concept and traced its autobiographical roots in Hemingway's own traumatic experiences.

The feminist critique of the 1970s and 1980s fundamentally challenged this celebration. Judith Fetterley's *The Resisting Reader* (1978) argued that Hemingway's fiction was structured by a systematic victimisation of women that served the psychic needs of wounded male egos. Leslie Fiedler's *Love and Death in the American Novel* (1960), while not specifically feminist, had earlier identified the flight from women and adult responsibility as a central pattern of American literature, and Hemingway as its most characteristic practitioner.

Later scholarship complicated both the celebratory and the critical positions. Mark Spilka's *Hemingway's Quarrel with Androgyny* (1990) revealed the extent to which Hemingway's masculine performances were animated by anxiety about femininity and androgyny—not a secure patriarchal confidence, but a continuous defensive struggle. Nancy Comley and Robert Scholes's *Hemingway's Genders* (1994) excavated the Garden of Eden manuscripts to reveal a Hemingway deeply fascinated by gender fluidity and transvestism, complicating the simple equation of Hemingway with phallic machismo.

Masculinity Studies and the New Hemingway

The emergence of masculinity studies as an academic field in the 1990s, building on Connell's theoretical framework and a growing body of historical and sociological research, opened new avenues for Hemingway criticism. Scholars such as Thomas Strychacz (1996), James Nagel (1996), and Carl Eby (1999) examined how Hemingway's texts staged and interrogated masculinity as performance, revealing the anxiety and instability beneath the surface confidence of the Hemingway hero.

This new scholarship insisted on the historicity of Hemingway's masculine mythology: it arose from specific historical conditions—the traumas of World War I, the gender disruptions of the 1920s and 1930s, the particular anxieties of American middle-class masculinity in the early twentieth century—and must be understood in relation to those conditions rather than as a transhistorical expression of universal masculine values. This historicizing move both limited and enriched the significance of Hemingway's achievement: it revealed the ideological investments of his masculine mythology while also illuminating its historical depth and complexity.

Contemporary Relevance

In the first two decades of the twenty-first century, Hemingway's wounded masculinity has taken on new relevance in the context of ongoing public debates about gender, #MeToo, and the 'crisis of masculinity' that

cultural commentators have diagnosed in contemporary society. The question of whether Hemingway's stoic ideal represents a problematic model to be critiqued and dismantled, or a valuable resource for men navigating genuine suffering, has become newly urgent.

There is no easy answer to this question. Hemingway's masculine mythology is, as this paper has argued, genuinely double: it simultaneously authenticates masculine suffering and suppresses it, celebrates endurance and demands silence, acknowledges the wound and refuses to speak directly about it. This doubleness makes it resistant to simple appropriation by either pro- or anti-masculinity cultural positions. What it offers, perhaps most valuably, is a record of the ways in which one culture at one moment attempted to make sense of masculine suffering—a record that remains essential reading for anyone seeking to understand the complexities of gender in the modern world.

3. Conclusion

This paper has traced the figure of wounded masculinity across four of Hemingway's major novels, from the sexually impotent veteran Jake Barnes to the ageing, defeated, yet undefeated fisherman Santiago. In each novel, the wound—physical, psychological, existential—functions not simply as a plot device or a symptom of biographical anxiety, but as the central structuring element of a masculine ideology that is simultaneously hegemonic and self-questioning.

The Hemingway hero is a man who has been damaged by forces larger than himself—war, time, loss, the indifference of the natural world—and who responds to that damage not with despair but with a carefully maintained performance of stoic endurance. This performance is not presented as easy or natural; it is revealed, again and again, as the product of continuous labour, deliberate choice, and profound suppression of the need to grieve, to cry out, to acknowledge the full weight of what has been lost.

The critical tradition has rightly identified the problematic dimensions of this mythology: its suppression of emotional expression, its instrumentalisation of women, its romanticisation of violence, and its equation of suffering with masculine authenticity. These critiques are valid and necessary. But they should not obscure the genuine pathos and complexity of Hemingway's achievement: his fiction gives voice, however indirectly, to a generation's experience of masculine wound, and it does so with a formal precision and emotional intensity that remain powerful and challenging nearly a century after the publication of *The Sun Also Rises*.

The wound in Hemingway is never fully healed, and it is not supposed to be. It is the permanent mark of the encounter with what cannot be controlled, refused, or evaded: violence, loss, time, death. To bear it with grace—to maintain one's dignity and commitment in the face of what cannot be survived—is, in Hemingway's world, the highest form of which the masculine self is capable. Whether that is a sufficient ideal, whether it is even a healthy one, remains an open question—one that the best of Hemingway's fiction poses with an honesty and an urgency that continue to demand our engagement.

References

- Beegel SF. (1988). *Hemingway's craft of omission: Four manuscript examples*. Ann Arbor: UMI Research Press.
- Butler J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. New York: Routledge.
- Caruth C. (1996). *Unclaimed experience: Trauma, narrative, and history*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Comley NR, Scholes R. (1994). *Hemingway's genders: Rereading the Hemingway text*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Connell RW. (1995). *Masculinities*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Eby CP. (1999). *Hemingway's fetishism: Psychoanalysis and the mirror of manhood*. Albany: SUNY Press.
- Felman S, Laub D. (1992). *Testimony: Crises of witnessing in literature, psychoanalysis, and history*. New York: Routledge.
- Fetterley J. (1978). *The resisting reader: A feminist approach to American fiction*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Fiedler, L. (1960). *Love and death in the American novel*. New York: Criterion Books.
- Gilbert SM, Gubar S. (1988). *No man's land: The place of the woman writer in the twentieth century*. Vol. 1. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Hemingway E. (1926). *The sun also rises*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.

- Hemingway E. (1929). *A farewell to arms*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Hemingway E. (1940). *For whom the bell tolls*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Hemingway E. (1952). *The old man and the sea*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Herman JL. (1992). *Trauma and recovery*. New York: Basic Books.
- Hynes S. (1990). *A war imagined: The First World War and English culture*. New York: Atheneum.
- Jajere, Z. M. (2009). Family Planning and Abortion in the Face of the Shari'ah. *Unimaid Journal of Women Studies*, 2, 85.
- Jajere, Z. M. (2026). FAITH-BASED RESOURCES AND DURABLE SOLUTIONS: ISLAMIC SOCIAL FINANCE AND THE PROTECTION OF INTERNALLY DISPLACED WOMEN AND CHILDREN IN BORNO STATE, NIGERIA. *Multi-Disciplinary Research and Development Journals Int'l*, 8(2), 1-36.
- Jajere, Z. M. (2026). Gender Inequality in Maiduguri: An Islamic Perspective. *Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity*, 51, 23-29.
- Jajere, Z. M., & Abdullahi, A. S. (2025). Muslim Women in Environmental Stewardship: Afforestation Initiatives and Socioeconomic Impact in Muslim-Majority Regions Sahel Region of Africa. *African Journal of Earth and Environmental Science*, 7(1).
- Jajere, Z. M., & ISHAQ, R. N. (2024). ISLAMIC VIEW ON ORPHANS, WIDOWS AND LESS PRIVILEGE IN ECONOMIC HARDSHIP. *EKERE JOURNAL OF RELIGION (EJR)*, 2(1), 71-86.
- Jajere, Z. M., & ISHAQ, R. N. ISLAMIC VIEW ON ORPHANS, WIDOWS AND LESS PRIVILEGE IN ECONOMIC HARDSHIP. *EKERE JOURNAL OF RELIGION (EJR)*, 85.
- Kimmel M. (1996). *Manhood in America: A cultural history*. New York: Free Press.
- Moddelmog DA. (1999). *Reading desire: In pursuit of Ernest Hemingway*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Nagel J. (1990). Hemingway and the Italian legacy. In: Lewis RW, editor. *Hemingway in Italy and Other Essays*. New York: Praeger. p. 197–209.
- Sedgwick EK. (1985). *Between men: English literature and male homosocial desire*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Spilka M. (1990). *Hemingway's quarrel with androgyny*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Strychacz T. (2003). *Hemingway's theaters of masculinity*. Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press.
- Young P. (1966). *Ernest Hemingway: A reconsideration*. Rev ed. University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press.