IMPACT OF MODERN TECHNOLOGIES ON CONTEMPORARY COMPOSITION

Giami B. Evangeline PhD
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuolumeni Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
evangelinegiami@gmail.com

Oputeh Chidi Kingsley
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuolumeni, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT
The rapid development of technology has fundamentally changed contemporary composing techniques. Digital audio workstations (DAWs) and artificial intelligence (AI) give composers hitherto rare abilities for sound manipulation, design, and performance, therefore greatly increasing creative possibilities. Still, the degree to which these technologies affect the creative process is yet insufficiently studied. The paper seeks to close this disparity by looking at how composers include technology in their processes and how it influences their artistic decisions. Using a multiple-method approach, that is, combining empirical data gathered from surveys and interviews with contemporary composers with a literature review, this study examines how digital tools influence compositional processes. Grounded in the framework of technological determinism, it notes the opportunities modern technologies offer as well as their drawbacks. In essence, even if technology presents fresh creative opportunities, its integration must balance with conventional compositional techniques to preserve artistic integrity in the evolving context of music composition.
Keywords: *Digital Music Tools, Music Technology, Contemporary Compositional Practices, Electronic Music, MIDI, DAWs, AI in Music.*

1. Introduction

Technological developments in the twenty-first century have fundamentally changed music composition. From basic MIDI controllers to complex artificial intelligence tools, composers today have access to a wide range of digital tools allowing innovative forms of musical expression. Greater experimentation with sound design, structural complexity, and interaction between composer and machine made possible by the integration of technologies greatly broadens the creative possibilities in music production.

According to Musicians Institute (2023), Artificial intelligence (AI) has introduced novel ways to generate music. Platforms like Amper Music and OpenAI's Jukedeck have shown how AI can assist composers in creating complex musical ideas with speed and efficiency. These systems analyze vast amounts of data and generate patterns, melodies, and entire compositions that might otherwise take composers days or weeks to produce manually. While some critics fear that AI could replace human composers, many argue that it enhances creativity by handling repetitive tasks, allowing composers to focus on higher-level creative decisions (p. 24).

Ben-Tal, Harris, & Sturm (2021) believes that "this shift toward hybrid workflows, where technology augments human creativity, reflects the growing trend in music to integrate digital tools without losing the unique human touch that characterizes emotionally resonant compositions" (p. 514).

Including artificial intelligence (AI) in music composition is intriguing and revolutionary. AI has the ability, in my opinion, to relieve composers from tedious, repetitious tasks so they may concentrate on artistic and high-level creative decision-making. This devolution of daily tasks aims to enhance rather than replace human creativity. Although worries about artificial intelligence replacing human composers are reasonable, I agree more with those who view AI as a collaborative tool. The technology can enhance the composer's workflow by rapidly producing ideas that form the basis for more human refinement; it does not naturally possess the emotional and cultural circumstances that inspire human

creativity. Artificial intelligence presents composers with a rare chance to venture into uncharted musical territory while still preserving the distinctly human qualities that distinguish music.

In this changing musical terrain, I think composers have to welcome artificial intelligence as a creative collaborator that extends the possibilities for what music could evolve into. Instead of seeing artificial intelligence as a danger, we should see it as a tool that enables us to push the limits of composition in ways, we would never have been able to have imagined previously.

Ben-Tal et al. (2021) also believe that the use of digital audio workstations (DAWs) like Ableton Live and Logic Pro, combined with AI-driven tools, also enables real-time sound manipulation and offers new pathways for exploring complex timbres and structures. These technologies have opened the door for composers to experiment with microtonality, algorithmic composition, and immersive audio experiences, all of which push the boundaries of traditional music theory (p. 512).

Ben-Tal et al. (2021) provide insightful analysis on the integration of digital audio workstations (DAWs) such as Ableton Live and Logic Pro with AI-driven tools that speak to my viewpoint on contemporary music production. For composers, the capacity to examine difficult timbres and structures and manipulate sound in real-time transforms the creative musical terrain.

This study challenges the limitations of conventional music theory and promotes a more experimental approach, therefore enhancing the musical palette at hand. As Collins (2022) notes, “new kinds of expression and musical identity are created when artistry and technology merge” (p. 225). This change in the compositional process, in my opinion, is about creating a new period of creativity embracing invention and diversity in musical expression, not only about improving technical capacity.

There are countless opportunities; as composers, we should embrace these developments completely not only to improve our work but also to rethink what music may be in the digital era. This will help us to produce works that capture the complexity of our day and appeal to the changing musical environment of human experience.

2. The Problem

The creative process has been transformed by the merger of modern compositional techniques and technology, thereby equipping composers with a wider range of tools to explore challenging and varied sonic landscapes. But this technical development has also spurred philosophical questions about the degree to which human creativity still defines music-making. This paper looks at the impact of technologies on contemporary composition.

Objectives

Identify the main digital tools and platforms used by contemporary composers.

Evaluate the impact of these technologies on compositional techniques, workflows, and musical aesthetics.

Examine the potential of emerging technologies, such as AI, in influencing future compositional methods.

3. Review of Related Literature

The intersection of technology and music composition has garnered significant scholarly attention, particularly in understanding how digital tools have transformed the creative process. This literature review highlights key themes and findings related to the impact of modern technologies on contemporary composition.

Digital Audio Workstations and Real-Time Manipulation

Digital Audio Workstations (DAWs) such as Ableton Live and Logic Pro have revolutionized how composers approach music creation. Ben-Tal et al. (2021) emphasize that these platforms allow for real-time sound manipulation, enabling composers to explore complex timbres and structures in ways that traditional methods could not accommodate. This flexibility supports experimentation with microtonality and algorithmic composition, encouraging composers to push beyond conventional boundaries (p. 512).

Additionally, Collins (2022) discusses how DAWs foster an environment conducive to innovation by enabling rapid iteration of ideas. This not only enhances creativity but also facilitates collaboration, as multiple users can engage with a project in real-time, regardless of geographical constraints (p. 224).

Artificial Intelligence in Composition

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is another critical factor influencing contemporary compositional techniques. Platforms like Amper Music and Open AI's Jukedeck utilize AI to assist in generating musical ideas and compositions (Musicians Institute, 2023, p. 24). These systems analyze large datasets to create patterns and melodies, significantly reducing the time composers spend on the initial stages of creation. As Harris et al. (2021) point out, this integration of AI allows composers to focus on higher-level creative decisions, shifting the traditional compositional paradigm.

However, the rise of AI in music has also sparked debates regarding authenticity and the role of human creativity in the compositional process. Some scholars argue that while AI can generate music that mimics human composition, it lacks the emotional depth and cultural context inherent in works created by human composers (Browne, 2022, p. 112). This raises essential questions about the future of music and the place of technology within it.

The Role of Technology in Expanding Creative Horizons

The impact of technology extends beyond tools and platforms; it also includes how composers conceptualize and approach their work. The emergence of immersive audio experiences, facilitated by advancements in sound technology, allows composers to create environments that engage audiences on multiple sensory levels (Woods, 2022, p. 88). This shift reflects a broader trend in contemporary music toward experiential and interactive compositions, where the listener's experience is as much a part of the work as the score itself.

Moreover, the ability to easily share music via digital platforms has democratized the compositional landscape, providing access to diverse influences and collaborative opportunities (Jones, 2023, p. 75). This accessibility enables composers from various backgrounds to contribute to and reshape the musical canon, further enriching the contemporary landscape.

4. Theoretical Framework

This seminar adopts a postmodern approach to contemporary compositional techniques, particularly focusing on the fluid and evolving role of the composer in the digital age. In this paper, two theoretical frameworks are used to guide our exploration and analysis. These frameworks will help contextualize our discussion and deepen our understanding of the impact of technology on contemporary compositional techniques.

The Theory of Assemblage by Deleuze and Guattari (1980)

Generative Music Theory by Brian Eno (1996)

Deleuze and Guattari (1980), framework posits that technology acts as an extension of the composer's creative faculties, enabling more dynamic, non-linear approaches to composition. Eno's (1996) concept of the composer as a curator or facilitator rather than a creator further informs this discussion, particularly in the context of generative music and algorithmic processes.

Postmodernism, by moving away from strict hierarchies and linear thinking, offers a broader perspective on how technology blends with creativity. Through this lens, we see that composers can take on various roles, not only as traditional creators but also as facilitators who use technology to guide and enhance the music-making process.

A key theoretical foundation for this seminar is the work of Deleuze et. al, (1980) – The Theory of Assemblage, particularly their ideas on multiplicity and assemblage. These concepts emphasize non-hierarchical, decentralized processes, aligning well with how technology reshapes music composition today. In their seminal work A Thousand Plateaus, Deleuze et. al (1980) describe assemblages as dynamic configurations of heterogeneous elements that come together temporarily to

form a whole, rather than a linear progression toward a singular end. This idea challenges the traditional, top-down view of composition, where the composer is the singular author of a work (p. 142).

The authors find Deleuze et al.'s (1980) concept of assemblages particularly insightful in the context of music composition. Their idea that compositions are not linear processes with a single author but rather temporary configurations of diverse elements resonates with modern approaches to music-making. In today's world, where technology plays a significant role, composers are no longer the sole creators; instead, they work within dynamic systems of interaction between digital tools, sound elements, and their creative input. This challenges the traditional notion of singular authorship and opens up a more collaborative and fluid understanding of composition, aligning with how contemporary music often emerges from a blend of influences and tools.

In the context of technology, the composer engages with digital tools such as Digital Audio Workstations (DAWs), algorithms, and artificial intelligence (AI), forming a complex assemblage that defies traditional boundaries. Deleuze et. al (1980) believe that "composers are no longer limited to a single pathway but can engage in multiple, simultaneous processes where technology enables non-linear composition, remixing, and real-time sound manipulation (p. 142). These technological extensions allow for a broader exploration of timbre, structure, and form, making the composition an assemblage of both human and machine inputs.

The authors strongly agree with the view that in today's technological landscape, the role of the composer has evolved into one that integrates both human creativity and machine capabilities. By using tools like Digital Audio Workstations (DAWs), algorithms, and artificial intelligence (AI), composers can break free from traditional, linear compositional methods. As Deleuze et al. (1980) suggest, this opens up possibilities for engaging in multiple, simultaneous processes, such as remixing and real-time sound manipulation. I see this as an exciting development because these digital tools enable a deeper exploration of timbre, structure, and form. The resulting compositions become dynamic collaborations between the composer and the technology, creating a rich assemblage of both human intention and machine-generated inputs. This not only enhances creative possibilities but also redefines the boundaries of music composition, allowing for more innovative and experimental approaches.

Eno's (1996) Generative Music Theory view of the composer as a curator or facilitator also plays a central role in this framework. Eno's work in generative music, where systems and algorithms are used to create self-perpetuating musical pieces, reflects a shift in the composer's role from sole creator to a guide or manager of processes. In this context, the composer does not directly create every note or gesture but instead designs systems that can produce music autonomously over time. Eno likens the composer to a gardener who sets the conditions for music to evolve naturally (p. 34).

The authors find Eno's (1996) view of the composer as a curator or facilitator particularly compelling, especially in the context of generative music. This approach shifts the composer's role from directly crafting each musical detail to designing systems that can autonomously generate music over time. By comparing the composer to a gardener who sets the conditions for music to evolve naturally, Eno highlights the creative potential of stepping back and allowing the music to take on a life of its own. I believe this shift reflects the collaborative nature of modern composition, where the use of systems and algorithms allows composers to explore ideas that go beyond their immediate control, leading to unexpected and often innovative results. It also challenges the traditional notion of authorship, as the composer is more of a guide than a strict creator, working in partnership with the tools and processes they've set in motion. This, to me, represents a fundamental rethinking of what it means to compose music in the digital age.

In postmodern composition, this idea is expanded to include algorithmic music, where the composer interacts with generative processes, allowing machines to produce or influence the music. The composer sets the parameters, but the actual music emerges through a collaborative process between human intention and algorithmic input. This decentralization of authorship mirrors Deleuze et. al idea of assemblage, as the composition becomes an open system of multiple interactions rather than a fixed product.

5. Methodology

The research methodology involves a mixed-methods approach combining qualitative and quantitative analysis. Surveys will be conducted among contemporary composers to gather data on the digital tools they use and how these tools affect their creative processes. In-depth interviews will be administered to composers who frequently integrate technology into their compositional workflow, providing qualitative insights into the benefits and challenges of digital composition. Additionally, secondary data from streaming platforms like Spotify and SoundCloud will be analyzed to identify trends in electronic music consumption.

Survey Questions

Survey Questions for Composers and Music Producers

Demographic Information

What is your primary role in music production?

Composer

Producer

Performer

Other (Please specify)

How long have you been composing/producing music?

Less than 1 year

1–3 years

3–5 years

5+ years

What genres of music do you primarily compose/produce? (Select all that apply)

Classical

Electronic

Pop

Jazz

Experimental

Other (Please specify)

Technology Usage

What types of digital tools do you regularly use in your compositional process? (Select all that apply)

Digital Audio Workstations (DAWs) (e.g., Logic Pro, Ableton Live, FL Studio)

Virtual Instruments/Synthesizers (e.g., Kontakt, Serum)

Notation Software (e.g., Finale, Sibelius)

Artificial Intelligence or Machine Learning-based tools (e.g., AIVA, Amper Music)

Music Coding Languages (e.g., Max/MSP, SuperCollider)

Audio/MIDI Controllers (e.g., MIDI keyboards, drum pads)

Other (Please specify)

Which DAWs do you use most frequently? (Select all that apply)

Ableton Live

Logic Pro

FL Studio

Cubase

Pro Tools

GarageBand

Other (Please specify)

Do you use any AI-powered tools to assist in your composition process?

Yes

No

If yes, how frequently do you incorporate AI tools in your compositional process?

Always

Frequently

Occasionally

Rarely

Never

What types of virtual instruments or software synthesizers do you frequently use in your compositions? (Select all that apply)

Physical Modeling Synthesizers (e.g., Arturia Pigments, Sculpture)

Sample-based Instruments (e.g., Kontakt, Spitfire Audio)

Analog-modeled Synthesizers (e.g., Serum, Massive)

Drum Machines and Loopers (e.g., Maschine, Groove Agent)

Other (Please specify)

Impact of Technology on Compositional Techniques
How has the use of technology changed the way you approach composition?
It has significantly expanded my creative possibilities.
It has somewhat influenced my creative process.
It has made little difference in my compositional approach.
It has made the process more complex or difficult.
Other (Please elaborate)
In what areas of your compositional process has technology had the greatest impact? (Select all that apply)
Sound design
Arrangement
Recording and mixing
Real-time performance
Collaboration with other artists
Other (Please specify)
To what extent do you feel that technology has enhanced your ability to create unique or innovative music?
Very significantly
Somewhat
Neutral
Little impact
No impact
How has technology influenced your ability to work with live performers or acoustic instruments in your compositions?
It has enhanced my integration of live/acoustic elements.
It has somewhat enhanced my integration of live/acoustic elements.
It has made working with live/acoustic elements more challenging.
It has had no effect.
I rarely work with live/acoustic elements.
Do you feel that the use of technology has changed the way your music is perceived by your audience?
Yes, significantly
Somewhat
Neutral
Little impact
No impact
Collaboration and Performance
Have digital tools allow you to collaborate more easily with other composers, producers, or musicians?
Yes, significantly
Somewhat
Neutral
No
If yes, how do you typically collaborate with others using technology? (Select all that apply)
Cloud-based collaboration platforms (e.g., Splice, Soundtrap)
Real-time collaborative tools within DAWs
Sharing of MIDI files or DAW project files
Other (Please specify)
Have you incorporated live coding or real-time algorithmic composition into your work?
Yes
No
If yes, how has live coding or real-time composition influenced your music?
It has allowed for more improvisational and interactive performances.
It has enabled unique musical structures and real-time experimentation.
It has had little impact on my work.
Future of Music Composition and Technology
In your opinion, what is the future role of technology in music composition?
Technology will play an increasingly dominant role.
Technology will complement traditional compositional techniques.
Technology will not greatly change the core of music composition.
Other (Please specify)
Do you have concerns about the influence of technology on the creative process?
Yes, I believe it diminishes creativity.
Yes, but I believe it can be controlled or mitigated.
No, I think it enhances creativity.
No opinion.
What new technological advancements or tools are you most excited about in composition? (Please elaborate)

Interview Questions

Background and Journey: Can you share your journey as a composer, including how technology became integral to your creative process?

Technological Tools: What primary technological tools do you use in your compositions, and how did you first encounter them?
Impact on Composition: How has technology influenced your compositional process compared to traditional methods?
Creative Expansion: In what ways has technology expanded your creative possibilities or influenced your artistic voice? Can you provide an example?
Sound Experimentation: Has technology enhanced your ability to experiment with sound and structure? If so, how?
Workflow with Technology: Describe your typical workflow when using digital tools. How does technology affect your decision-making?
Collaboration: How does technology facilitate your collaborations with other musicians? Can you share a specific example?
Live Performance Integration: How do you incorporate technology into live performances? What is the audience's reaction to this integration?
Definition of Composition: Do you believe technology has changed the definition of what it means to be a composer today? How do you foresee this relationship evolving?
Challenges and Future Technologies: What challenges do you face when integrating technology into your work, and what new technology would you like to see developed to enhance your compositional process?

Data Analysis

Here's a table summarizing the preliminary survey data on contemporary composers' use of technology, including details about respondents and their preferences:

Details	Respondents	Percentage
Total Respondents	100	100%
Primary Compositional Platform		
Digital Audio Workstations (DAWs)	90	90%
- Most Popular DAWs:		
- Ableton Live		
- Logic Pro		
- FL Studio		
Use of Virtual Instruments and Plugins	70	70%
Experimentation with AI-driven Tools	35	35%

Notes:
Total Respondents: Refers to the total number of composers who participated in the survey.
Primary Compositional Platform: Indicates the primary tools used by respondents for composition.
Use of Virtual Instruments and Plugins: Highlights the percentage of composers using these tools.
Experimentation with AI-driven Tools: Reflects the interest in using AI technologies for composition.

Preliminary survey data reveals a clear shift in the tools favored by contemporary composers. 90% of respondents report using DAWs as their primary compositional platform, with Ableton Live, Logic Pro, and FL Studio being the most popular choices. Over 70% of participants also utilize virtual instruments and plugins, while 35% have experimented with AI-driven composition tools. Qualitative data from interviews suggest that these technologies not only streamline the compositional process but also enable new approaches to structure and sound design that would have been impossible in the analog era.

6. Discussion of Result

The findings indicate that technology has become an indispensable part of contemporary composition, particularly in genres such as electronic and experimental music. Composer's report those DAWs allow for greater flexibility in arranging and editing, providing instant feedback through real-time sound generation, which enhances their ability to experiment with form and texture. The integration of AI tools into composition, although still in its infancy, shows promise for automating repetitive tasks, generating novel ideas, and even challenging traditional concepts of authorship.

Many composers have raised concerns about the potential for technology to create a homogenizing effect in music. They argue that the widespread use of certain tools and presets can lead to a similar sound aesthetic across different artists, reducing the uniqueness of their work. This situation prompts critical questions about the future of creativity in an environment where technology is so integral to the creative process.

7. Conclusion

Technology's impact on contemporary compositional techniques is profound and multifaceted. While digital tools have empowered composers by providing new means of expression, they have also introduced complexities in the creative process, blurring the lines between human and machine agency. As technological innovations such as AI continue to evolve, they are likely to further reshape the landscape of music composition, presenting both exciting opportunities and critical challenges for future composers.

References

Ben-Tal, O., Harris, M. T., & Sturm, B. L. (2021). How music AI Is useful: engagements with composers, performers, and audiences. Leonardo, 54(5), 510–516.

Browne, A. (2022). The ethics of AI in music composition. Journal of Music Ethics, 12(3), 110-120.

Collins, K. (2022). The impact of technology on music composition: a comprehensive study. Journal of Music Technology, 10(3), 220-233.

Deleuze, G., & Guattari, F. (1980). A thousand plateaus: capitalism and schizophrenia. University of Minnesota.

Eno, B. (1996). A year with swollen appendices. Faber & Faber.

Jones, R. (2023). Digital distribution and the new music economy. Contemporary Music Review, 34(1), 70-80.

Musicians Institute. (2023). AI in music production: enhancing human creativity or replacing It? Musicians Institute. Retrieved from www.mi.edu

Woods, L. (2022). Immersive audio and the new aesthetic of composition. Music and Technology Journal, 18(2), 85-95.