

DIGITAL PAYMENT AND TAX REVENUE IN NIGERIA

Ajinwo Bright PhD, ACA, ACTI

Department of Accounting, Faculty of Administration and Management,
Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
bright.ajinwo@ust.edu.ng

Isijola Blessing G.O. ACA, FCTI

Department of Accounting, Faculty of Administration and Management,
Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
bisijola@sololaakpana.com

ABSTRACT

The study examined the relationship between digital payments and tax revenue in Nigeria. Data were obtained from the Federal Inland Revenue Service the questionnaire administered on the management staff at the various corporate offices. Secondary data on tax revenue were also obtained from the Federal Inland Revenue Service website. Analyses of data were performed with Pearson's Product Moment Correlation and Multiply Regression using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 21.0 and E-view version 10. Findings indicate that digital payments significantly influence capital gains tax. The finding of the study supports the finding of Oseni (2016), who conducted a study with the objective of assessing the effect of ICT on tax administration in Nigeria. The study concludes that digital payment has a significant impact on tax revenue in Nigeria. Based on the findings, the study concludes as follows: Provision should also be made in the Finance Act in taxing capital gains on online investments, block chain transactions and cryptocurrencies. Staff of the Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) should be trained in big data analytics, data mining, block-chain technologies, automation, robotics. cloud computing and artificial intelligence to be able to interrogate, and access on me capital gains taxes and other digital related taxes. Also, Introduction of social media and mobile application taxes in the Finance Act. Will boost VAT revenues. Significantly, the social media and mobile application taxes are consumption taxes in the nature of excise duty. Excise duties are relatively easy to administer which makes them the preferred tool for countries with low administrative capacity.

Keywords: Digital Payment, Capital Gains Tax, Tax Revenue, Value added Tax.

INTRODUCTION

Digital payment is a form of carrying out transactions devoid of any physical exchange of money. However, the said transaction is mandatorily performed via the internet or applications necessitating its employment. It is the principal branch of digitalization, comprising the transaction of the natives from the physical exchange of money to digital transactions. The parties involved in the said scenario include a payer and a payee. The individual sending the money is the payer, whereas the recipient or the person to whom the money is transferred is the payee. This contemporary form of exchange of money, mandatory the internet for both parties and eliminating hard currency give-and-take in it entirely, is also known as electronic payment. It is, hence, a rapid and highly convenient method of carrying out

transactions (Adults, 2020). This modern-day method of money exchange comprises several modalities in its application of the internet. These are debit card, credit card, net banking, unified payments interface (UPI), digital wallets, etc (Mohammed, 2021). It is noteworthy that the intensified use of E-payments in the present time has exacerbated the widespread of cyber-crimes and tax evasions. This age is witnessing extensive use of smart phones and enormous access to products and devices via E-commerce applications; how prepared are the Nigerian Tax Authorities in the use of digital technologies to harvest the increasing tax revenue as a result of these digital payments (Banu, et al, 2019). According to Malan, et al, (2019), in purchasing through E-commerce, websites and opting for internet banking, the portal automatically redirects to the preferred bank's website and the banking details, mentioned above are not required. At present, all the banks in Nigeria rely on internet banking services and all the payment gateways provide the options of virtual banking options. As specified earlier, NEFT, RTGS, and IMPs are the most commonly exercised ways to transact via on-line banking (Malan, et al, 2019). Mobile Banking, though introduced two decades, ago, the application of mobile banking is still scarce, especially when compared with banking cards and internet banking. However, their usefulness is equally substantial. Also, denoted as M-banking, or SMS banking, this is a kind of digital payment service that incorporates almost all Internet banking features (such as issuing a new cheque book or requesting a new debit/credit card, beyond merely paying money) and exercised via an official banking software application that needs to be installed via app store of smartphone or tablet (Malusare, 2019). However, Mujinga (2020) opined that nearly every bank has its own application, which as of late, has been used for more than internet banking, provided similar features for significant ease of accessibility. Many people refer to this branch of digital payment services as the future of banking, considering the lots of features, and it provides in a clean user Interface (Mujinga, 2020). Tax revenue can be seen as a basic source of government revenue. Tax shows the level of activities a government can carry-on without incurring debt (Brautigam, 2018). Naiyeju (2020), states that a critical factor to the collection of tax revenue is the tax system put in place. To further elucidate this, Onyeka and Nwankwo (2016) state that the level of tax collected is a reflection of the quality of the tax management system put in place. According to Omolehinwa and Naiyeju (2015) tax revenue is a fiscal tool in the economy used in achieving certain macroeconomic objectives. They further show that tax revenue is gathered in the federation account and distributed among the federating units (Federal, State and Local government) of Nigeria. Generally, tax is imposed on the income or profits of all companies operating in Nigeria except where the company or the profits made are specifically exempted under the Act. Specifically, Nigerian companies pay tax on their global profits whether such profits were received in or brought into Nigeria while non-Nigeria companies are taxed on that portion of their global profits that is attributable to their operations in Nigeria (Kibel & Nwikipasi, 2019). Also, Part IV, S. 40(1) of the Companies and Allied Matter Act (CAMA), 2007 stipulates "There shall be levied and paid for each year of assessment in respect of the total profits of every company tax at the rate of thirty percent for every naira". By the implication of this subsection of the law, the companies' income tax rate in Nigeria is 30% of taxable profit of all Nigerian companies.

There is a possibility that Value added Tax (VAT) and Goods and Services

Tax (GST) rates will apply to every business (Ndajiwo, 2020). VAT and GST have been around for decades and include digital businesses. Digital services could be through tangible or intangible goods, physical services (such as connecting service providers to clients) or even streaming platform. Value-Added Tax (VAT) is important for any international entrepreneur to consider when dealing with sales. VAT is a consumption tax that applies to all goods and services, whether physical or digital. That means, every time a customer purchases a good or service in the EU, they pay VAT on the spot. The business of sale collects the VAT from the customer and pays some or all of it to the government. In this way, you can see yourself as a kind of tax middleman between the government and consumer. It's not your money paying for the VAT, you are just collecting and submitting the customer's money to the government. It is important to be charging the VAT to your customers because the government will still be expecting that money whether it comes from your pocket or theirs. Unfortunately, it becomes more complicated since the countries you will be paying the VAT to all have different rates (including the 28 EU member States). The dance around different VAT rates is due to the fact that the consumer is the one paying so it should be at the rate of their country not the country of the seller or of the company incorporation. This also helps even the playing field for local businesses when their consumers are purchasing from cheaper international locations instead (Ndou, 2004). Though VAT has so far sounded like bad news for the international business owner, there is still good news on the way. You only need to charge a business VAT for business to customer (B2C) transactions, not when you are dealing with Business to Business sales (B2B). You also do not need to charge VAT on personal services if you are sitting outside of the EU. This includes popular remote businesses such as consulting companies. This tax is dominantly for the sale of products including those of the digital world. Files, pictures, online resources and other forms of digital products though intangible is still included in a VAT sale product. To fall under the exemptions of VAT, ensure you are carefully considering who your consumer is and whether the sale is a personal service or a physical (tangible or intangible) product. Tax is a compulsory levy imposed by the government on incomes of tax payer's in order to pay the expenses of governance.

Capital Gains Tax (CGT) is a form of tax chargeable on capital gains arising from the disposal of chargeable assets. Gains here mean increases resulting in the market value of assets to a person who does not regularly offer them for sale and in whose hands they do not constitute stock in trade (inventory) Obaje and Ememaku (2012). Capital gains may arise in two instances, in the first place, where the asset appreciates in value while still in the hands of the owner, or may be the realized gains when the assets are sold or disposed off. Capital gains tax are payable on stocks, shares, securities, land and buildings, plant and machinery, every business assets. The national tax policy (NTP) was first published in 2012, as part of the efforts to entrench a robust and efficient tax system in Nigeria. Four years after, the rapidly changing commercial environment and persistent low tax to gross domestic product (GDP) ratio among other developments, demand new strategies to continue to meet government objectives of creating an enabling environment, simplifying the tax system and ensuring ease of compliance. It is therefore imperative to continually review and update the National Tax Policy (NTP). The national tax policy provides the fundamental guidelines for the orderly development of the Nigeria tax system. The policy is expected to achieve the following objectives: guide the operation and

review of the tax system, provide the basis for future tax legislation and administration, serve as a point of reference for all stockholders on taxation, provide benchmark on which stakeholders shall be held accountable and provide clarity on the roles and responsibilities of stakeholders in the tax system. The Nigeria tax policy provides a framework for a sustainable system that would ensure reliable sources of revenue to government and support the economic development of the nation. The new national tax policy 2017 has the following guidelines which all existing and future taxes are expected to align in line with the following fundamental features: Equity and fairness: Nigeria tax system should be fair and equitable and devoid of discrimination. Tax payers should be required to pay according to their ability, simplicity, certainty and clarity. Tax laws and administrative processes should be simple, clean and easy to understand -convenience. The time and manner for the fulfillment of tax obligation shall take into account the convenience of tax payers and avoid undue difficulties. Low compliance cost: The financial and economic cost of compliance to the tax payer should be kept to the barest minimum. Low cost of administration: The administration in Nigeria should be efficient and cost effective in line with international best practices. Flexibility: Taxation should be flexible and dynamic to respond to changing circumstances in the economy in a manner that does not retard economic activities. Sustainability: The tax system should promote sustainable revenue, economic growth and development. There should be a synergy between tax policies and other economic policies of government. Sustainability principle is criticized as having a kind of a lock-in-effect on business in the sense that it inhibits the sale of capital assets which have appreciated in value (Brown, 1955) quoted in Obaje (2012). Capital gains tax is the levy on the profit that an investor makes when an investment is sold. It is owed for the tax year during which the investment is sold. An investor will owe long term capital gains tax on the profits of any investment owned for at least one year. If the investment is for one year or less, short-term capital gains tax applies. The short-term rate is determined by the tax payer's ordinary income bracket. When stock shares or any other taxable investment assets are sold, the capital gains or profits are referred to as having been "realized". The tax doesn't apply to unsold investments or "unrealized capital gains". Stock shares will not incur taxes until they are sold, no matter how long the shares are held or how much the 'increase in value. Capital gains tax was first introduced in Nigeria by the capital Gains Act of 1967. Section 1 (i) of the Act states inter alia: subject to the provisions of this Act there shall be charged a tax to be called capital gains tax for the year of assessment 1967-1968 and for subsequent years of assessment in respect of any capital gains, that is to say, gains accruing to any person on or after April 1967 on a disposal of assets. Subsection 2 states, "every such gain shall, except so far as otherwise expressed provided, be a chargeable gain. Also, section 1(3) of the Acts provided that, "unless the context otherwise requires, any reference to a persons shall include a reference to any person to whom section 2 of the Personal Income Tax Act applies. The rate of capital gains tax shall be ten percent according to the Act. Chargeable assets subject to the exception provisions by the Act shall be all forms of property, shall be assets for the purposes of the Act, whether situated in Nigeria or not, including; options debts and incorporeal property generally. Any currency other than Nigeria currency and any form of property created by the person disposing of it, or otherwise coming to be owned without being acquired, and without, prejudice to the foregoing provisions, this section shall have effect,

notwithstanding that the property is an asset in respect of which qualifying expenditure had been incurred under the schedule to the Personal Income Tax Act, the third schedule to the Companies Income Tax Act of the Petroleum Profit Tax Act Assets situated outside Nigeria, without prejudice to the foregoing provisions of the Act, as respect any chargeable gains accruing in the year 1967-1968 or a later year of assessment from disposal of assets situated outside Nigeria-where the disposal of assets is by an individual who is in Nigeria for some temporary purpose only and not with any view or intent to establish his residence in Nigeria and if the period or sum of the periods for which he is present in Nigeria in that year of assessment exceeds 182 days or where the disposal is by any trustees of any trust or settlement and the seat of administration of the trust or settlement is situated outside Nigeria during the whole of that year of assessment, or 'where the disposal is by a company, which is not a Nigerian company within the meaning of section 105 of the Companies Income Tax Act, that is, to say, a company whose activities are managed and controlled outside Nigeria during the whole of that year of assessment, capital gains tax shall be charged on the amounts (if any) received or brought into Nigeria. This reasonably explores the impact of digital economy on capital gains tax revenue. The borderless nature of business models of digital economy players has challenged the physical establishment (PE) principle in taxation of foreign multinationals' goods and services and their profit. A digital service provider can earn income from single service beneficiary across several international boundaries. It is therefore difficult, if not impossible to allocate with any degree of certainty income earned from a single service beneficiary across multiple productions without some level of subjectivity, Ogunbero and Olaghere (2021).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Oseni (2016) conducted a study with the objective of assessing the effect of ICT on tax administration in Nigeria. The qualitative research method was used adopting content analysis to process the data gotten. It was shown from the study that ICT will expose the activities of tax evaders. Beeson et al, (2016) assessed the determinants of income tax compliance in Mauritius digital space for individual taxpayers. The study adopted primary survey design to obtain data which was analyzed with the aid of thematic statistical analysis. Their study result suggests that tax knowledge impact significantly on tax compliance and that statutory audit, penalties, personal financial constraints perceptions of government expenditure influences compliance level. The study concluded that reduction of non-compliance should be some collaborative efforts between tax payers and tax administrators. Ifere and Eko (2014) examined the tax innovation, administration and revenue generation in Cross River State. The study employed qualitative research technique using a structured questionnaire to access data from the three senatorial districts in Cross River State. The study using an analytical statistics of descriptive and regression analysis found significant degree of inefficiency in the administration of taxes in Cross River State. Otieno, Oginda, Obura, Aila, Ojera and Siringi (2013) conducted a study in Kenya in order to assess the effect of ICT on revenue collection in Kenya by the use of the survey research design. They revealed that there is a positive relationship between ICT and the effectiveness and efficiency of revenue collection. Paul and Mustapha (2011) evaluated the determinants of improving tax revenue through tax compliance in Malaysia digitalized economy. The study used survey method to obtain data for analysis. The result suggested that tax compliance

is strongly influenced by tax payer's tax knowledge which varies significantly among respondents. It further revealed the probability of being audited, perception of government spending, penalties, personal financial constraints, and the influence of referent groups as determinants of improving tax revenue through tax compliance in Malaysia's digitalized economy. Dowe (2018) observed that the tax authorities around the world are using electronic tax administration systems (like E-government led solutions like electronic tax filing to interact with taxpaying public in tax collection, administration and compliance settings, so as to improve effectiveness and efficiency in tax administration. Given that the bureaucratic structure is very expensive for public, the use of automated systems has proven to be capable of introducing massive efficiencies for the business to process the information and file the taxes at minimal cost. Although it is recognised that the use of IT technologies is expected to yield greater tax productivity, however, it is not without its pitfalls in harnessing its benefits. It depends on quality of internet facility, availability of electricity to power the host server, cost of maintenance of ICT facilities, technical know-how by tax administering agencies and literacy among tax-paying populations (especially the lower income tax payers) and incidence of internet hackings. Schaefer and Spengel (2015) carried out a study adopting a qualitative research design in order to examine the effect of ICT on international corporate taxation. They found out that ICT facilitates tax shift from host countries of subsidiaries to the host country of the parent country.

METHODOLOGY

For the purpose of this study, the survey research design and ex-post facto research design were adopted. The justifications for this choice is based on the fact that data collected on the variables of the study are in form of opinions and perception and again data on tax revenue were sourced from Federal Inland Revenue Service official website for the period.

RESULTS

Test of Hypothesis One

Ho1: There is no significant relationship between digital payment and value added tax revenue.

Regression Result for Digital Payments and Value Added Tax revenue

Model Summary					
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted Square	R Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.735a	.540	.527	2.30804	1.847
a. Predictors: (Constant), Value Added Tax Revenue					
b. Dependent Variable: Digital Payments					

Source: Researcher's Desk

Coefficients						
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance VIF

Digital Payment and Tax Revenue in Nigeria

	Constant	5.413	1.286		4.209	.000		
1	Value added Tax	2.860	.446	.735	6.416	.000	1.000	1.000

a. Dependent Variable: Digital payments

Source: Researcher's Desk

The table above suggests that Digital payments do not significantly influence Value Added Tax revenue. The R of 0.735, while the R² is 0.540 which reveals that Digital payment explains 54.0% of the observed variation can be explained by the model's inputs. The adjusted R² is high because digital payment increases the model more than expected value, the t-test which is 7.233 with 58 degree of freedom, the test is significant, the relationship is high. The linear regression analysis estimates the linear function to be .446. Moreso, the intercept of the regression model is positive which implies that as digital payment increases, Value Added Tax revenue also decreases. The Durbin Watson Statistic indicates a positive autocorrelation and values of 1.847. The significant test shows that Digital payment has a significant influence on Value Added Tax revenue. Thus, we conclude that Digital payment significantly impacts on Value Added Tax revenue. Since an r value that is less than 0.20 ($r < 0.20$) is the benchmark for accepting the null hypotheses and an r value that is greater than or equal to 0.20 ($r \geq 0.20$) is the benchmark for rejecting the null hypotheses, based on this, guidelines for accepting or rejecting the null hypothesis formulated for the study according to Irving (2005) cited in Ahiazu & Asawo (2016), the researcher rejects the null hypothesis and accept the alternate hypothesis. This was because, the r value obtained from our SPSS computed output is greater than 0.20 i.e. r 0.735 is greater than 0.20.

Test of Research Hypothesis Two

H02: There is no significant relationship between digital payment and capital gains tax revenue

Regression Result for digital payments and Capital Gains Tax Revenue

Model Summary						
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted Square	R Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson	
1	.491a	.241	.219	.58712	2.145	

a. Predictors: (Constant), Digital payments
b. Dependent Variable: Capital Gains Tax Revenue

Source: Researcher's Desk

Coefficients							
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients	Standard Error	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Tolerance	Statistics
	B	Std. Error	Beta				VIF
Constant	.763	.399		1.909	.064		

Digital Payment and Tax Revenue in Nigeria

1	Digital Technol ogy	.097	0.29	.491	3.3 32	.00 2	1.000	1.000
---	---------------------------	------	------	------	-----------	----------	-------	-------

a. Dependent Variable: Capital Gains Tax Revenue

Source: Researcher's Desk

The table above suggests that Digital payments do very moderately influence Capital Gains Tax. The R of 0.491, while the R² is 0.241 which reveals that Digital payment explains 24.1% of the observed variation, and can be explained by the model's inputs. The adjusted R² is high because Digital payments increase the model more than expected value the t-test which is 3.332 with 58 degree of freedom, the test is significant, the relationship is moderate. The linear regression analysis estimates the linear function to be .029. Moreso, the intercept of the regression model is positive which implies that as Digital payment increases; Capital Gains Tax revenue also moderately decreases. The Durbin Watson Statistic indicates a positive autocorrelation and values of 2.145. The significant test shows that Digital payment has a moderate influence on Capital Gains Tax. Thus, we conclude that Digital payment moderately impact on Capital Gains Tax revenue.

Since an r value that is less than 0.20 ($r < 0.20$) is the benchmark for accepting the null hypotheses and an r value that is greater than or equal to 0.20 ($r \geq 0.20$) is the benchmark for rejecting the null hypotheses, based on this, guidelines for accepting or rejecting the null hypothesis formulated for the study according to Irving (2005) cited in Ahiazu & Asawo (2016), the researcher rejects the null hypothesis and accept the alternate hypothesis. This was because, the r value obtained from our SPSS computed output is greater than 0.20 i.e. r 0.491 is greater than 0.20.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Digital payments significantly influence capital gains tax. The finding of the study supports the finding of Oseni (2016), who conducted a study with the objective of assessing the effect of ICT on tax administration in Nigeria. The qualitative research method was used adopting content analysis to process the data gotten. It was shown from the study that ICT will expose the activities of tax evaders and this agrees with findings of Beeson, et al, (2016). They assessed the determinants of income tax compliance in Mauritius digital space for individual tax payers. The study adopted primary survey design to obtain data which was analyzed with the aid of thematic statistical analysis. The study result suggests that tax knowledge impact significantly on tax compliance and that statutory audit, penalties, personal financial constraints, perceptions of government expenditure influence compliance level. The study included that reduction of non-compliance should be some collaborative efforts between tax payers and tax administrators.

Digital payment significantly influences VAT revenue. The findings are in the same direction with the findings of Olatunji and Ayodele (2017), who examined the effect of ICT on tax productivity in Southwest Nigeria using the survey research design. The data gathered were analyzed using the regression and Pearson correlation. They revealed that ICT affect tax productivity in South Western Nigeria. Considering 149 panel of VAT implemented countries both from developed and developing economies or alternatively Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and non-Sub-Saharan African (Non-SSA) countries over 1970-2013. The finding however differs from the finding of Said (2014), who examined tax knowledge, complexity and compliance based on taxpayers' view so as to uncover the reasons for non-

compliance. A survey research design was used to obtain data for analysis with the aid of thematic statistical techniques. The result revealed that tax payers have inadequate technical knowledge and perceive tax system as complex and therefore contributing to non-compliance behaviour of tax payers. The finding of the above is in agreement with the theory in use, the economic efficiency theory and the source theory of taxation. With digital technology the problem of double taxation is reduced by eliminating clash in taxing jurisdictions.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The concept of digital payments and tax revenue has been delineated. This was done through the demonstration of the indications of the proxies. The study concludes that digital payment has a significant influence on tax revenue in Nigeria. The provision of technological infrastructure will increase the tax revenue accruing to the government, and the tax payers will enjoy doing business with ease. The univariate and bivariate analyses agree with the revenue trends for the years under study except in the case of Capital Gains Tax revenue, also VAT revenue shows consistent increase per time within the period under consideration. From these results, it is concluded that digital payments positively impact tax revenues in Nigeria. The increases noticed in VAT revenue may be due to increase in trade volume since VAT is a consumption tax. Also, digital payment portals must have encouraged voluntary and more convenient payment of tax. Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations were made:

Provision should be made in the Finance Act in taxing capital gains on online investments, block chain transactions and crypto-currencies.

Staff of the Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) should be trained in big data analytics, data mining, block-chain technologies, automation, robotics, cloud computing and artificial intelligence to be able to interrogate, and access online capital gains taxes and other digital related taxes.

Introduction of social media and mobile application taxes in the Finance Act will boost VAT revenues. Significantly, the social media and mobile application taxes are consumption taxes in the nature of excise duty. Excise duties are relatively easy to administer which makes them the preferred tool for countries with low administrative capacity.

A comprehensive reform of international corporate taxation with a shift to full formula of apportionment at its core is likely to be the only solution to really address the issue in the long-term, and greater measure of tax transparency is also required for better debate, as well as quantitative analysis of how the proposals are likely to affect individual tax jurisdictions and the extent to which it may shift the tax base of multinational corporations away from the tax havens.

REFERENCES

- Abiola J, Asiweh M (2012). Impact of tax administration on government revenue in a developing economy: A case study of Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(8), 99-113.
- Abogan, O..P, Akinola, E.B., & Baruwa, O.I. (2014). Non-oil export and economic growth in Nigeria (1980-2011). *Journal of Research in Economics and International Finance*, 3(2), 1-11.
- Adu, S. (2020). Taxation in the digital economy. How much will things change? PwC
- Adults, M.Y. (2020), "Factors influencing the use of E-wallet as a payment method among Malaysian young adults" *Journal of International Business and*

- Management. 3(2) 67-70.
- Agoma, A. (2023). Old Stand-up Comedy, Orality, Subject Matter and the New Media: A Study of Alibaba's 2016 January 1st Concert Performance. *Ama: Journal Of Theatre And Cultural Studies*, 14(1).
- Agoma, A. (2023). SOLO PERFORMANCE AND THE RESTRUCTURING OF THE THEATRE CURRICULUM: A STUDY OF TUNJI SOTIMIRIN'S PERFORMANCE IN SOLO AFRICA. *Ama: Journal Of Theatre And Cultural Studies*, 15(1).
- Agoma, A. (2025). Writing Solo Plays in Nigerian Theatre: Using Sotimirin's *Molue*, Mbajorgu's *The Prime Minister's Son*, and Binebai's *Karina's Cross* as Paradigms. *Abuja Journal of Humanities*, 6(2), 370-384.
- Agoma, A. (2026). Solo Performance and the Superfluity of Facial Make-Up: A Study of Ipe, as Performed by Daniel Olamide, and Molue, as Performed by Tunji Sotimirin. *Abuja Journal of Humanities*, 7(1), 211-223.
- Agrawal, D. R. (2013). The internet as a tax haven?: The effect of the internet on tax competition, last revised Jan. 2015 at <http://ssrn. coin/u abstract =2328479>.
- Appah F, Onowu, J. U. & Adamu, U., (2020). Public sector Audit, Transparency and Good Governance on Financial Accountability of Public Sector Entities in Rivers State, Nigeria. Research Gate.
- Awaritoma, A. (2009). Stand-up Comedy in Nigeria.
- Baba, A. A. Current Development.
- Banu, A.M., Mohammed, N.S. Parayitamn, S. (2019). "Online banking and customer satisfaction: evidence from India", *Asian-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*. 15(1-2) 12-15.
- Baridam, D. M. (2001) *Research Methods in Administrative Sciences*. Sherbrook associates.
- Bariyima, D., Kiabel and Nuka N. Nwikipasi (2019). *Selected Aspects of Nigerian Taxes*.
- Barua, A., Konana, P., Whinston, A. B. & Yin, F. (2017). Driving c-business excellence. *Sloan Management Review*, 43(1), 36-44.
- Bauer, Ch. & Langenmayr, D. (2019). Sorting into outsourcing: Are profits taxed at a gorilla's arm's length? *Journal of International Economics* 90(2), 326-336.
- Beeson, D., Soondram, H. P. & Jugumath, B. (2016). *Assessing the determinants of income tax compliance Mauritius: A study of individual taxpayers*. University of Mauritius.
- Calderon, C. & Cantu, C. (2021). *The impact of digital infrastructure on African development*. Policy Research Working Paper, No. 9853. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Cevioni, L., (2015). "The new Google Tax". The "Start of the End" for Tax Residence as a connecting factor for tax Jurisdiction. *European Taxation*, 3(5)1-17.
- Chijioke N, Leonard I, Bossco E, Henry C (2018). Impact of e-taxation on Nigeria's revenue and economic growth: a pre post analysis. *International Journal of*

Finance and Accounting 7(2), 19-26.

- Collier, J. E. & Carol C. B. (2016). Measuring service quality in c-retailing. *Journal of Service Research*, 8(3), 260-275.
- Devereux, M. & Griffith R. (2018). Taxes and the location of production: Evidence from a panel of US multinationals *Journal of Public Economics*, 68, 3 35-67.
- Devos, K. (2014). Factors influencing individual taxpayers compliance behaviour: Springer publisher.
- Diamond, P.A. & Mirrlees, J. A. (2015). Optimal Taxation and Public Production II: Tax Rules, *American Economic Review*, 61(2), 261-278.
- Edori, D.S., Edori, I. S, Idatoru A.R. (2017). Tax issues and challenges inherent in the Nigerian tax system. *American Journal of Management Science and Engineering* 2(4). 52-57.
- Educational Testing Service (2018). National ICT Literacy Policy Council ICT Literacy.
- Efunboade. A. O. (2014). Impact of ICT on Tax Administration in Nigeria. *Computer Engineering and Intelligent System*, 5(8). 26-29.
- Egbejule, E. (2019). Nigeria's digital economy laces a new challenge. Available at <https://theafricareport.com/16100/nigerias-digital-economy-faces-new-challenge>.
- Ganyam, AT., Ivungu, J.A., & Anongo, E.T. (2019). Effect of tax administration on revenue generation in Nigeria: Evidence from Benue State tax administration (2015-2018). *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management* 7(7), 394-414.
- Goldkuhl G., Persson A. (2016b). From c-ladder to c-diamond — re-conceptualising models/br public eservices, in *Proc of the 14th European*.
- Goldkuhl, G. & Persson, A. (2016a). Characteristics of public E-services: Investigating the E-Diamond Model, in *Proceeding of the First International*.
- Goolsbee. A. (2019). In a world without borders: The impact of taxes on internet commerce. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 115(2), 561-567.
- Harper L. (June 10, 2013). "A Citizen's Guide to Open Government, E-Government, and Government 2.0". Retrieved June 4, 2017.
- Harrison, M. M. & Nahashon, K. (2015). Effects of online tax system on tax compliance among small taxpayers in Meru County, Kenya. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 3(12), 280.
- Haufler, A. & Runkel, M. (2017). Firms' financial choices and thin capitalization rules under corporate tax competition. *European Economic Review*, 56, 1087-1103.
- Heiner, R. & Iyer, H. (2017) E-Service opportunities and Threats, *Journal of Value Chain Management*, 1, 11.
- Helbig, N., Gil-Garcia, J. & Ferro, E (2019). Understanding the complexity of electronic government: Implications from the digital divide literature. *Government Information Quarterly*, 26(2), 89-97.

- Ibrahim, O., Jeremiah, M. S., & Ithnin, N. (2018). The framework for intangible information assets evaluation for adequate managerial control systems. *Journal Teknologi Maklumat*. 20 (20, 45-59.
- Ifere F. & Eko, E. (2014). Tax innovation. administration and revenue generation in Nigeria: Case of Cross River State. *International Journal of Economics and Management Engineering* 8(5), 1603-1609 Investment (FDI) In Nigeria through effective tax policy incentives. *International Journal of Applied Economics, Finance and Accounting* 4(2). 36-44.
- Ishola, K. A. (2019). *Taxation principles and fiscal policy in Nigeria*. (2nd Ed). Kastas Publishers Nigeria Limited, Ilorin Nigeria.
- Isiadinso, O, & Omoju, E (2019). Taxation of Nigerian” digital economy: Challenges and proposals. *Andersen Tax Review*, 2(2). 1-5.
- Ismail, N. A., & Ali, B. A. (2026). Teaching Classical Arabic (Fuṣḥā) Beyond Grammar: Language, Culture, and Pedagogical Meaning-Making in Nigeria. *Abuja Journal of Humanities*, 7(1), 161-176.
- Jaeeger, P.T. (2016). Assessing Section 508 compliance on federal e-government Web sites: A multi-method, user-centered evaluation of accessibility for persons with disabilities *Government Information Quarterly* 23. 1 69—I 90.
- Jajere, Z. M. (2009). Family Planning and Abortion in the Face of the Shari'ah. *Unimaid Journal of Women Studies*, 2, 85.
- Jajere, Z. M. (2026). FAITH-BASED RESOURCES AND DURABLE SOLUTIONS: ISLAMIC SOCIAL FINANCE AND THE PROTECTION OF INTERNALLY DISPLACED WOMEN AND CHILDREN IN BORNO STATE, NIGERIA. *Multi-Disciplinary Research and Development Journals Int'l*, 8(2), 1-36.
- Jajere, Z. M. (2026). Gender Inequality in Maiduguri: An Islamic Perspective. *Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity*, 51, 23-29.
- Jajere, Z. M., & Abdullahi, A. S. (2025). Muslim Women in Environmental Stewardship: Afforestation Initiatives and Socioeconomic Impact in Muslim-Majority Regions Sahel Region of Africa. *African Journal of Earth and Environmental Science*, 7(1).
- Jajere, Z. M., & ISHAQ, R. N. (2024). ISLAMIC VIEW ON ORPHANS, WIDOWS AND LESS PRIVILEGE IN ECONOMIC HARDSHIP. *EKERE JOURNAL OF RELIGION (EJR)*, 2(1), 71-86.
- Jajere, Z. M., & ISHAQ, R. N. ISLAMIC VIEW ON ORPHANS, WIDOWS AND LESS PRIVILEGE IN ECONOMIC HARDSHIP. *EKERE JOURNAL OF RELIGION (EJR)*, 85.
- Janda, S., Trocchia, P. J., & Gwinner, K. (2016). Consumer perceptions of Internet Retail Service Quality. *International Journal of Service Industry' Management*. 13(5), 412-431
- Jayakumuav, P., & Sahayaraj, M.V. (2016), “A study on customer satisfaction of modern banking system”, *International Journal of Advanced Scientific Research and Development*. 50
- Johannesen, N. (2014). Tax avoidance with cross-border hybrid instruments *Journal*

of Public Economics, 112, 40-52.

- Juswanto W. & Simms R (2017). Fair taxation in the digital economy, ABD Institute, Policy Brief No.5, <https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/39026/adbi-pb20J7-5.pdf> Retrieved on 10/09/2021.
- Kanbur, R. & Keen, M. (2018). Jeux sans frontieres: Tax competition and tax coordination when countries differ in size. *American Economic Review*, 83(4), 877-892.
- Karpati A. (2011). Blended culture: the “virtual” and the “real” in art and education. In: Proceedings of the “The contribution of culture to the implementation of the Europe 2020 Strategy” Conference, 27 February - 1 March 2011.
- Kaynama, S. A. & Black, C. 1. (2020). A proposal to assess the service quality of online travel agencies: An exploratory study. *Journal of Professional Services Marketing* 21(1), 63-88.
- Keen, M. & Konrad, K. (2019). International tax competition and coordination, Working paper, Max Planck Institute.
- Kiabel, B. D. (2020). Advanced Research Methodology in the Management Sciences, Port Harcourt.
- Klemens, K. (2020). Digital service tax: An empirical legal analysis. *Student Law Review*, 7(2), learning of knowledge using ICT methods. *International Journal of Contemporary Research in Computer Science and Technology*, 3(4), 10-13.
- Kurihara, Katsufumi (2021) Taxation on the Digital Economy-issues on implementation and Enforcement. Policy Research Institute, Ministry of Finance, Japan, Public Policy Review 17 (1).
- Lai, P. (2018), “Research methodology for novelty technology.” *Journal of Inflammation Systems and Technology Management* 15.
- Li, F., Lu, H., Hou, M., Gui, K. & Darbandi, M. (2021). “Customer satisfaction with bank services; the role of cloud services, security, e-learning and service quality.” *Technology in Society* 64. Article ID 101487.
- Lighthart, J. E. (2014). Consumption taxation in a digital world: A primer, CentER Discussion Paper, 02, Tilburg: Macroeconomics.
- Lu. (2016). Measuring cost/benefits of e-business applications and customer satisfaction “, Proceedings of the 2nd International Web Conference, 29-30 November, Perth, Australia, 139-147
- Malusare, L. (2019). “Digital payments method in India a study of problems and prospects.” *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)* 3(8).
- Marandu, E. E., Mbekomize, C. & Ifezue, A. (2014). Determinants of tax compliance: a review of factors and conceptualizations. University of Botswana, Botswana
- McChuskey, W., Chyi-Yun, H., Patrick, D., Riel, F., & Paul, F. (2018). Using Information and Communication Technology to enhance Local Government revenue collection in Tanzania. African Tax Institute.
- McLure, Ch. E., Jr. (2020). Implementing state corporate income taxes in the Digital

Age. National Tax Journal, 53, 1287-1305.

- Mirrlees J., Adam, S., Besley, T., Blundell, R., Bond, S., Chote, R., Gammie, M., Johnson, P., Myles, G. & Poterba, J. (eds) (2017) Dimensions of tax design: The Mirrlees Review, Oxford: Oxford University Press for Institute for Fiscal Studies.
- Muthurasu D.C., & Suganthi, D.M. (2018), "An overview on digital library. Global Journal For Research Analysis. 8(14).
- Nachmias, D. & Nachmias C. (1976) Research methods in the social sciences. Edward Arnold Publications.
- Ndajiwo, M. (2020). The taxation of the digitalized economy: An African study. Brighton, United Kingdom: Institute of Development .Studies.
- Ndou, V. (2004). E-government for developing countries': Opportunities and challenges, EJJSDCI8, 1-12
- Nielsen, S. B. (2001). A simple model of commodity taxation and cross-border shopping. The Scandinavian Journal of Economics, 103(4), 599-623.
- Nisar, T.M., & Prabhakarv, G. (2017). "Explaining the key drivers behind the occupation of mobile banking services". Journal of marketing analytics 5(3-7).
- Obe P.A (2019). Digitalisation of tax: International perspectives. Institute of Chartered Accountant of England and Wales Journal Review 1(1),1-12.
- Odusola, A. (2016). Tax policy reforms in Nigeria- research paper No 2006/3, United Nations University-World Institute for Development. Economics Research 2(1), 123-136.
- OECD. (2014). Addressing the tax challenges of the digital economy: OECD/G20 base erosion shifting project. Paris, France: OEC'D Publishing.
- Okello, A. (2014). Managing income tax compliance through self-assessment IMF working paper. 14/41.
- Olaoye, S.A., & Aguguom, T.A. (2018). Tax incentives as a catalyst of tax compliance for tax revenue and economic development: Empirical evidence from Nigerian. European Journal of Accounting, Finance and Investment, 1(8), 001-014.
- Olbert, Marcel & Spengel, Christopher (2019) working paper: Taxation in the digital economy: Recent Policy developments and the question of value creation. ECONSTOR.ZB W.Leibmz-infdrmaton-zentnt;n Wirtschaft
- Oseni, M. (2015). Sustenance of tax administration by information and communications technology in Nigeria, Archieves of Business Research, 4(1), 47-54.
- Otieno, O.C., Oginda, M., Obura, J. M., Aila, F. O., Ojera and Siringi, E. M. (2013). Effect of information systems on revenue collection by local authorities in Horna Bay County, Kenya. Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance, 1(1), 29-3 3.
- Palil, M. R. & Mustapha, A. F. (2011). Determinants of tax compliance in Asia: a case study of Malaysia: The National University of Malaysia.

- Perrou K (2018). The Ombudsman and the process of resolution of international tax disputes — protecting the “invisible party” to the MAP. *World Tax Journal*, 1(1), 99-129.
- Price Waterhouse Coopers (2010). Nigeria @ 50: Top 50 tax issues. Available at: <https://www.pwc.com/ng/en/pdf/nigeria-top-50-tax-issues.pdf>. Retrieved: 12/9/2019
- Rukundo, S. (2020). Addressing the challenges of taxation of the digital economy: Lessons /or African countries. Brighton, United Kingdom: Institute of Development Studies.
- Saad, N. (2013). Tax Knowledge, tax complexity and tax compliance-taxpayers view. *Procedia-Social and behavioural sciences*, 109:1069-1075
- Salami, G. O, Apelogun, K.1-1, Omidia, O.M, Ojoye, O.F (2015). Taxation and Nigerian economic growth process. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting* 10(6), 93-101.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., Thornhill A. (2009). *Research methods for Business students*.
- Sheth, T. (2017). Tax administration and tax revenue generation in Nigeria: taxpayers perspective. *International Journal of Latest Engineering and Management Research* 2(10), 38-47.
- Soete, K. & Kamp, K. (2016). The ‘bit tax’: The case for further research, *Science and Public Policy*, 23(6), 353-360.
- Solomon Rukundo (2020): Addressing the challenges of taxation of the Digital Economy: Lessons for African Countries International centre for Tax and Development (ICTD) Bill & Melinda Gates Foundations Institute if Development.
- Sunday, B, Okosun, S. E, & Giamporcaro, S. (2017). The appraisal of taxation as a source of revenue to Lagos State Board of Internal Revenue (A case of Lagos State, Nigeria). *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting* 8(23):46-54.
- Taherdoost, H. (2014). A review paper of c-services, technology concepts. 8th International Conference Interdisciplinarity in Engineering, INTER—ENG 2014, Roinania.
- Teddlie C. & Tashakori, A. (2009) foundations of Mixed methods research: Integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in the social and behavioral sciences.
- The Economist. (2017). The world most valuable resource is no longer oil, but data. Available at <https://www.economist.com/leaders/2017/05/06/the-worlds-most-valuable-resource-is-no-longer-oil-but-data>.
- Theobald, F. (2018). Impact of tax administration towards government revenue in Tanzania: Case of Dar-es Salaam region. *Journal of Social Sciences* 7(1), 13-21.
- Thomas K (2014). IRS finds U.S. tax evasion \$385 billion per year suggesting tax justice network numbers are right. *Middle Class Economist*.
- UNCTAD. (2019). Digital economy report 2019. Value creation and capture:

implications for developing countries. New York, United States of America.' United Nations.

UNESCO (2019), Information Society Policies. Annual world report.

Uzoagulu, A. F. (2011) Practical Guide to writing Research Project in Tertiary institutions Enugu Cheston publishers.

Varian, H. (2017). Taxation of electronic commerce. Harvard Journal of Law and Technology, 13(3), 3-9.

Varian, H., & Shapiro, C. (2018) Information rules, strategic guide to Network economy, Harvard Business Press.

Warren N (2018). Estimating tax gap is everything to an informed response to the digital era. E Journal of Tax Research, 16(3), 536.

Wilson. (2018). NIST-Special-Puhlication-800-l6, Information Technology Security Training Requirements: A Role and Performance Based.

Wimmer, M., Codagnone, C. & Janssen, M. (2018). Future of e-Government Research: 13 research themes identified in the eGovRTD2020 project'. Proceedings of the 41st Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, USA

Yasmin Ismail (2021) The Global Debate on Taxation in Digital Economy; State of Play and Implications for Developing Countries. Cuts International, Genera Rue de Vermont 37-39.

Zeithaml, V. A. (2017). Service excellence in electronic channels. Managing Service Quality (12:3), 2002, 135-138

Zeithaml, V., Either, MI. (2017). Services marketing. integrating customer focus across the firm. McGraw-Hill.